SINGLE BENCH (4)

0

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 444 OF 2006

PETITIONERS : 1. Arun Kumar Gupta, aged about 44 years, Son of Shri Madanlal Gupta, Occupation-Service, Presently working as Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School Gadhideeh, Block Lormi, District Bilaspur (C.G.).

P.R. No. 1449/06 Presented by Smt. Uma Parey dated 22/3/06

2. Ashok Kumar Sharma, aged about 44 years, Son of Shri Natthulal Sharma, Occupation-Service, Presently working as Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School Nawagaon Jhottha, Block Lormi, District Bilaspur (C.G.).

RECTIFIED COPY 22.3.06 CLERK to H. C. BILASPUR

3. Jaijairam Rathore, aged about 43 years, Son of Shri Awadh Ram Rathore, Occupation-Service, Presently working as Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School Chandrapur, Block Lormi, District Bilaspur (C.G.).

4. Vishnu Prasad Chandrawanshi, aged about 40 years, Son of Shri Brijlal Chandrawanshi, Occupation-Service, Presently working as Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School Rahangi, Block Lormi, District Bilaspur (C.G.).

(5)

(2)

5. Smt. Tarini Vaishnav, aged about 42 years, Wife of Shri Chandrakishore Das Vaishnav, Occupation-Service, Presently working as Assistant Teacher, Govt. Girls Primary School Lormi, Block Lormi, District Bilaspur (C.G.).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through : The Secretary, Department of School Education, D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.).

2. The District Education Officer, Bilaspur (C.G.).

3. The Block Education Officer, Lormi, District Bilaspur (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(27)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
Writ Petition No. 1444 of 2006

Petitioners : Arun Kumar Gupta & others
Versus
Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh Officer & others

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**.

Smt. Hamida Siddique, counsel for the petitioners.
Shri Satish Gupta, Deputy Government Advocate for the respondents/State.

ORAL ORDER

(28th March, 2006)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been denied two increments from the date of the petitioners having passed B.Ed. Examination as per the Circular dated 24.12.1998.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the petitioners wants to seek liberty of this Court to make a representations to the authorities for the relief sought for in this petition, to which learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.

3. Accordingly, the petitioners are granted liberty to make detailed representations within a period of two weeks to the concerned authorities. In that event, the concerned authorities are directed to consider the said representations and decide, in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the representations.

4. In view of the foregoing, the petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu